

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 \_\_\_\_\_, Individually and on Behalf of All  
11 Others Similarly Situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 GERON CORPORATION, JOHN A.  
15 SCARLETT, ANDREW J. GRETHLEIN,  
16 MICHELLE J. ROBERTSON, FAYE FELLER,  
17 ANIL KAPUR, and JIM ZIEGLER,

18 Defendants.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE  
FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

19 Plaintiff \_\_ (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  
20 by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff’s complaint against Defendants, alleges the  
21 following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and  
22 information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted  
23 by and through Plaintiff’s attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the  
24 Defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by Defendants, United  
25 States (“U.S.”) Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases  
26 published by and regarding Geron Corporation (“Geron” or the “Company”), analysts’ reports  
27  
28

1 and advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff  
2 believes that substantial, additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth  
3 herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

#### 4 NATURE OF THE ACTION

5 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons  
6 and entities other than Defendants that purchased or otherwise acquired Geron securities between  
7 February 28, 2024 and February 25, 2025, both dates inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to  
8 recover damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the federal securities laws and to pursue  
9 remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange  
10 Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, against the Company and certain of its top  
11 officials.  
12

13 2. Geron, a late-stage clinical biopharmaceutical company, focuses on the  
14 development and commercialization of therapeutics for the treatment of cancer and chronic  
15 degenerative diseases. The Company’s sole product candidate is RYTELO (imetelstat), a  
16 telomerase inhibitor designed to prevent the uncontrolled proliferation of malignant stem and  
17 progenitor cells in myeloid hematologic malignancies for the treatment of low- to intermediate-1  
18 risk myelodysplastic syndromes (“lower-risk MDS”) and intermediate-2 or high-risk  
19 myelofibrosis. Geron categorizes treatment eligible patients with lower-risk MDS into three  
20 groups: (1) first-line patients ineligible for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (“ESAs”); (2)  
21 second-line ESA relapsed/refractory patients; and (3) third-line plus ESA relapsed/refractory  
22 patients.  
23

24 3. According to Geron, “[l]ower-risk MDS is a progressive blood cancer with high  
25 unmet need, where many patients with anemia become dependent on red blood cell transfusions,  
26 which can be associated with clinical consequences and decreased quality of life.” At all relevant  
27  
28

1 times, the Company has touted itself as being “in a strong position for value creation” based on  
2 its “differentiated product candidate,” the “potential for significant commercial opportunities in  
3 transfusion-dependent, lower-risk MDS,” and the “excellence and experience of [its] employees.”

4           4.       In June 2024, Geron commercially launched RYTELO following its approval by  
5 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for the treatment of adult patients with lower-  
6 risk MDS with transfusion-dependent anemia requiring four or more red blood cell units over  
7 eight weeks who have not responded to or have lost response to or are ineligible for ESAs. In a  
8 press release announcing the drug’s FDA approval, Geron stated that it “believe[s] eligible  
9 patients with lower-risk MDS can potentially experience meaningful clinical benefit” from  
10 RYTELO and that “[t]he approval of RYTELO as the first telomerase inhibitor is a testament to  
11 the power of [its] science and the passion of [its] people to innovate in the field of blood cancer.”  
12 Further, under the heading “important safety information,” the press release provided that patients  
13 taking RYTELO would need to be monitored “weekly for the first two cycles, prior to each cycle  
14 thereafter, and as clinically indicated.” However, Geron has described this ongoing monitoring  
15 requirement as ‘standard’ and has stated that neither community nor academic facilities consider  
16 such monitoring requirements to be a burden.

17           5.       Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and misleading  
18 statements regarding the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically,  
19 Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) despite  
20 contrary representations to investors, a lack of awareness of RYTELO among health care  
21 providers, the weekly monitoring requirement, and seasonality and existing competition would  
22 impair Geron’s ability to capitalize on the purportedly significant unmet need for the drug; (ii)  
23 accordingly, the RYTELO launch was unlikely to be as profitable as the Company had led  
24 investors to believe; (iii) as a result, Geron’s business and/or financial prospects were overstated;  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 and (iv) as a result, the Company’s public statements were materially false and misleading at all  
2 relevant times.

3           6.       On February 26, 2025, Geron announced its financial results for Q4 and full year  
4 2024. Specifically, Geron reported Q4 2024 earnings per share (“EPS”) of -\$0.04 and revenue of  
5 \$47.54 million, falling far short of the -\$0.02 to -\$0.03 EPS and \$61.93 revenue million figures  
6 projected by analysts.

7  
8           7.       That same day, Geron hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss  
9 the Company’s Q4 and full year 2024 results (the “Q4 2024 Earnings Call”), during which  
10 Geron’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) Defendant John A. Scarlett (“Scarlett”) revealed that  
11 the Company had “observed flat revenue trends over the last few months” for RYTELO. The  
12 Company attributed this diminished growth to seasonality, competition, lack of awareness among  
13 health care providers, and the burden of the weekly monitoring requirement. Further, Geron’s  
14 Executive Vice President (“V.P.”) and Chief Commercial Officer (“CCO”) Defendant Jim Ziegler  
15 (“Ziegler”) stated that, in response to the foregoing, the Company was “assessing other possible  
16 root causes for the flat revenue trends” and considering strategic adjustments to invigorate growth,  
17 including “increasing [health care provider (“HCP”)] awareness” of RYTELO.

18  
19           8.       Market analysts were quick to comment on Geron’s disappointing Q4 2024 results  
20 and emphasize the Company’s failure to effectively market and commercialize RYTELO. For  
21 example, on February 26, 2025, the investment bank H.C. Wainwright & Co (“H.C. Wainwright”)  
22 downgraded the stock from buy to neutral, stating “[f]lat revenue trend leads Geron to rethink  
23 launch strategy; we expect it may take time to build footing.” Further, H.C. Wainwright noted  
24 that the majority of prescriptions remain in the academic setting and highlighted the lack of first-  
25 line new patient starts, naming competition as a likely factor. Similarly, Barclays lowered its  
26  
27  
28

1 price target 56%, citing RYTELO’s new patient start flatness, the impact of seasonality on sales,  
2 “hesitancy around starting products that require monitoring,” and competitive dynamics.

3 9. On this news, Geron’s stock price fell \$0.76 per share, or 32.06%, to close at \$1.61  
4 per share on February 26, 2025.

5 10. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous  
6 decline in the market value of the Company’s securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have  
7 suffered significant losses and damages.  
8

9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 11. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of  
11 the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by  
12 the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).  
13

14 12. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28  
15 U.S.C. § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act.

16 13. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15  
17 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Geron is headquartered in this District, Defendants  
18 conduct business in this District, and a significant portion of Defendants’ activities took place  
19 within this District.  
20

21 14. In connection with the acts alleged in this complaint, Defendants, directly or  
22 indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited  
23 to, the mails, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of the national securities  
24 markets.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **PARTIES**

2 15. Plaintiff, as set forth in the attached Certification, acquired Geron securities at  
3 artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the  
4 alleged corrective disclosures.

5 16. Defendant Geron is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices  
6 located at 919 East Hillsdale Blvd., Suite 250, Foster City, CA 94404. The Company’s common  
7 stock trades in an efficient market on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (“NASDAQ”) under the  
8 ticker symbol “GERN.”

9 17. Defendant Scarlett has served as Geron’s CEO at all relevant times.

10 18. Defendant Andrew J. Grethlein (“Grethlein”) has served as Geron’s Executive  
11 V.P. and Chief Operating Officer at all relevant times.

12 19. Defendant Michelle J. Robertson (“Robertson”) has served as Geron’s Chief  
13 Financial Officer at all relevant times.

14 20. Defendant Faye Feller (“Feller”) has served as Geron’s Executive V.P. and Chief  
15 Medical Officer at all relevant times.

16 21. Defendant Anil Kapur (“Kapur”) served as Geron’s CCO from prior to the start of  
17 the Class Period until August 2024.

18 22. Defendant Ziegler has served as Geron’s Executive V.P. and CCO since  
19 September 2024.

20 23. Defendants Scarlett, Grethlein, Robertson, Feller, Kapur, and Ziegler are  
21 collectively referred to herein as the “Individual Defendants.”

22 24. The Individual Defendants possessed the power and authority to control the  
23 contents of Geron’s SEC filings, press releases, and other market communications. The  
24 Individual Defendants were provided with copies of Geron’s SEC filings and press releases  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 alleged herein to be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and  
2 opportunity to prevent their issuance or to cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions  
3 with Geron, and their access to material information available to them but not to the public, the  
4 Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and  
5 were being concealed from the public, and that the positive representations being made were then  
6 materially false and misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements and  
7 omissions pleaded herein.  
8

9 25. Geron and the Individual Defendants are collectively referred to herein as  
10 “Defendants.”

## 11 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

### 12 **Background**

13 26. Geron, a late-stage clinical biopharmaceutical company, focuses on the  
14 development and commercialization of therapeutics for the treatment of cancer and chronic  
15 degenerative diseases. The Company’s sole product candidate is RYTELO (imetelstat), a  
16 telomerase inhibitor designed to prevent the uncontrolled proliferation of malignant stem and  
17 progenitor cells in myeloid hematologic malignancies for the treatment of lower-risk MDS and  
18 intermediate-2 or high-risk myelofibrosis. Geron categorizes treatment eligible patients with  
19 lower-risk MDS into three groups: (1) first-line patients ineligible for ESAs; (2) second-line ESA  
20 relapsed/refractory patients; and (3) third-line plus ESA relapsed/refractory patients.  
21  
22

23 27. According to Geron, “[l]ower-risk MDS is a progressive blood cancer with high  
24 unmet need, where many patients with anemia become dependent on red blood cell transfusions,  
25 which can be associated with clinical consequences and decreased quality of life.” At all relevant  
26 times, the Company has touted itself as being “in a strong position for value creation” based on  
27  
28

1 its “differentiated product candidate,” the “potential for significant commercial opportunities in  
2 transfusion-dependent, lower-risk MDS,” and the “excellence and experience of [its] employees.”

3 **Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period**

4 28. The Class Period begins on February 28, 2024, when Geron filed an Annual Report  
5 on Form 10-K with the SEC, reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the  
6 year ended December 31, 2023 (the “2023 10-K”). In providing an overview of the Company’s  
7 commercial plans for RYTELO, the 2023 10-K stated, in relevant part:  
8

9 If imetelstat is approved in lower-risk MDS for marketing by regulatory  
10 authorities, we plan to commercialize imetelstat ourselves in the U.S. ***Our U.S.***  
11 ***launch strategy is designed to prepare imetelstat, the market and the company to***  
12 ***ensure broad reimbursement and deliver a seamless customer experience to all***  
13 ***stakeholders at launch. Several long-lead time activities have already been***  
14 ***completed, such as securing a global trademark for the imetelstat brand name;***  
15 ***finalizing third party logistics, our distribution network, and our patient support***  
16 ***providers; and onboarding highly experienced commercial and medical affairs***  
17 ***leadership teams. We continue to conduct pre-commercial preparations for the***  
18 ***U.S., such as enhancing and/or establishing company processes and systems to***  
19 ***support a potential commercial launch, refining our market research in lower-***  
20 ***risk MDS, engaging in marketing and commercial access/reimbursement***  
21 ***preparatory efforts, and hiring our sales force, which we expect to occur in the***  
22 ***first and second quarters of 2024. We continue to evaluate our strategy for the***  
23 ***potential launch and commercialization of imetelstat in Europe.*** Based on our  
24 internal estimates of pricing and addressable patient populations in 2031 and if  
25 regulatory authorities approve imetelstat for marketing in lower-risk MDS and  
26 relapsed/refractory MF, we believe the potential combined total addressable market  
27 opportunity in the U.S. and Europe for imetelstat is approximately \$7.0 billion, of  
28 which lower-risk MDS represents approximately \$3.5 billion and  
relapsed/refractory MF represents approximately \$3.5 billion.<sup>1</sup>

29 29. Appended to the 2023 10-K as exhibits were signed certifications pursuant to the  
30 Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Defendant Scarlett and Robertson, attesting that “the information  
31 contained in the [2023 10-K] fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and  
32 results of operations of the Company.”  
33  
34

35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36  
37  
38 <sup>1</sup> All emphases included herein are added unless otherwise indicated.

1           30.     That same day, Geron issued a press release announcing the Company’s Q4 and  
2 full year 2023 financial results. The press release stated, in relevant part:

3           “Geron’s progress and execution throughout 2023 has paved the way for a  
4 potentially transformational 2024, as we plan for the transition to becoming a  
5 commercial company,” said [Defendant] Scarlett[.] “***We believe that we are in a  
6 strong position for value creation, based on our differentiated product candidate,  
7 the potential for significant commercial opportunities in transfusion-dependent,  
8 lower-risk MDS and relapsed/refractory MF, the excellence and experience of  
9 our employees, and the strength of our balance sheet to support a potential U.S.  
10 launch.***”

11           31.     Also on February 28, 2024, Geron hosted an earnings call with investors and  
12 analysts to discuss the Company’s Q4 2023 results (the “Q4 2023 Earnings Call”). During the  
13 scripted portion of the Q4 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Scarlett stated, in relevant part:

14           [W]e ended 2023 with a strong cash position of approximately \$378 million, which  
15 based on our current plans and expected available resources, we expect will enable  
16 us to fund a potential successful launch in transfusion dependent low risk MDS in  
17 the US and fund our planned operations into the third quarter of 2025.

18           ***We believe our differentiated product candidates, the very important commercial  
19 opportunities in transfusion dependent low risk MDS and relapse/refractory MF,  
20 the excellence and experience of our employees, and the financial resources to  
21 execute on our near-term milestones, puts us in a strong position for value  
22 creation.***

23           32.     Also during the scripted portion of the Q4 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Kapur  
24 stated, in relevant part:

25           Approximately 10% of lower risk MDS patients are not eligible for ESAs and  
26 represent a very high unmet need subgroup. RS-negative patients make up  
27 approximately 75% of lower risk MDS patients and are a population particularly  
28 vulnerable to poor clinical outcomes. There are no therapies indicated for the  
treatment of anemia in RS negative patients once they are relapsed or refractory to  
ESAs. RS positive patients make up approximately 25% of the lower-risk MDS  
patients, and most who are high-transfusion burden lack effective treatment  
options. These underserved subgroups are at a greater risk for disease progression  
and suboptimal survival and are in the need for more effective treatment options.

          Moving on to an update on US launch preparations, with our PDUFA date just  
about 3.5 months away, we have completed multiple critical launch readiness  
activities and plan to be ready to launch imetelstat in the US market upon potential  
approval. Long lead time activities such as securing our global trademark on our

1 brand name, manufacturing of commercial supply are now complete. In preparation  
2 of launch, we have also finalized our distribution network and our patient support  
3 providers. In addition, we have onboarded and fully integrated a highly experienced  
4 commercial and medical affairs team into Geron. We continue to transition Geron  
5 towards a commercial company with the integration and adoption of systems and  
6 processes to recognize and report revenues and the continued refinement of  
7 engagement plans with marketing, commercial access, payer and reimbursement  
8 stakeholders.

9  
10 33. On March 14, 2024, Geron issued a press release entitled “Geron Announces FDA  
11 Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee Votes in Favor of the Clinical Benefit/Risk Profile of  
12 Imetelstat for the Treatment of Transfusion-Dependent Anemia in Patients with Lower-Risk  
13 MDS.” The press release stated, in relevant part:

14 “We are pleased with the Committee’s decision to recognize the positive clinical  
15 benefit/risk profile of imetelstat for the treatment of transfusion-dependent anemia  
16 in adult patients with lower-risk MDS. There are few treatment options and  
17 significant unmet medical need remains for these patients, particularly among those  
18 with difficult-to-treat subtypes of this blood cancer,” said [Defendant] Feller[.] “*We  
19 believe that imetelstat has the potential to be an important new medicine for  
20 patients* and look forward to continuing our collaboration with the FDA as they  
21 complete their review of our New Drug Application.”

22 34. On May 2, 2024, Geron issued a press release announcing the Company’s Q1 2024  
23 financial results. The press release stated, in relevant part:

24 “Since the FDA ODAC’s 12 to 2 vote in favor of the clinical benefit/risk profile of  
25 imetelstat for the treatment of transfusion-dependent anemia in patients with lower-  
26 risk MDS in March, we have continued working with the FDA as they complete  
27 their review of our New Drug Application, which has a June 16, 2024 PDUFA  
28 target action date,” said [Defendant] Scarlett[.] “*We are actively preparing for a  
successful launch of imetelstat in the U.S., if approved, including most recently  
onboarding our sales force last month, refining our market research and  
completing buildout of our enterprise capabilities and systems to support our  
transition from a clinical to commercial-stage company.*”

#### U.S. Commercial Preparation

Geron has now completed onboarding its commercial team, with the buildout of the full sales organization in April. *Other commercial preparations for the U.S. are ongoing and on target, including enhancing and/or establishing company processes and systems to support an expected commercial launch, refining market research in TD LR-MDS, and engaging in marketing, commercial access, payer, and reimbursement preparatory efforts.*

1  
2 35. That same day, Geron hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss  
3 the Company’s Q1 2024 results (the “Q1 2024 Earnings Call”). During the scripted portion of  
4 the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Scarlett stated, in relevant part:

5 We’re poised for a successful U.S. launch of Imetelstat for the treatment of  
6 transfusion-dependent anemia in patients with lower risk MDS. If approved we’re  
7 deeply excited for the opportunity to bring patients what we believe is an important  
8 and differentiated medicine. With our PDUFA date on June 16th, we continue to  
work closely with the FDA as they complete the review of our new drug  
application.

9 \*\*\*

10 In short, we’re building on our momentum acting with urgency and fully confident  
11 in our readiness for U.S. launch on potential approval. We’re also financially well-  
12 resourced to support the planned U.S. commercial launch with approximately \$465  
13 million on the balance sheet as of March 31 of this year. On the heels of the highly  
positive ODAC outcome, we raised approximately \$141 million in net proceeds  
from an underwritten public offering of common stock and a pre-funded warranty.

14 36. Also during the scripted portion of the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Kapur  
15 stated, in relevant part:

16 ***We believe that we are positioned very well for commercial value creation and***  
17 ***are well-prepared to execute a successful U.S. launch upon potential approval.***  
18 As [Defendant Scarlett] mentioned in April, we completed the build-out of our full  
19 commercial organization with the hiring of our sales force, which is being now  
integrated and trained so that they will be prepared to be deployed in the field upon  
potential approval.

20 \*\*\*

21 ***To support our launch preparation and commercial strategy, we have collected***  
22 ***extensive market insights which suggest that Imetelstat is highly differentiated in***  
23 ***this transfusion-dependent low-risk MDS market. Our research has shown that***  
24 ***medical and payer stakeholders are dissatisfied with available options in the low-***  
***risk MDS space which we believe creates an opportunity for Imetelstat.***

25 \*\*\*

26 We expect to see Imetelstat uptake across ESA ineligible, ESA failed, RS negative,  
27 and RS positive high transfusion burden patients. Based on our latest 2024 market  
28 research of 50 U.S.-based practicing hematologists across both community and  
academic settings, on the left-hand side of the slide, you can see that our market

1 research suggests meaningful Imetelstat use in frontline ESA ineligible patients,  
2 especially those who are RS negative.

3 \*\*\*

4 ***We believe we are well-positioned to capitalize on Imetelstat opportunity in***  
5 ***transfusion-dependent low-risk MDS by building on the unique product profile***  
6 ***and executing on the launch critical success factors that are driving our***  
7 ***commercial plan.*** From a prescriber’s perspective, we have a few important goals  
8 that prescribers embrace the totality of clinical benefit achievable with Imetelstat  
9 and understand the efficacy profiles across MDS subgroups, including RS negative  
10 and high-transfusion burden patients.

11 37. On June 6, 2024, Geron issued a press release entitled “Geron Announces FDA  
12 Approval of RYTELO™ (imetelstat), a First-in-Class Telomerase Inhibitor, for the Treatment of  
13 Adult Patients with Lower-Risk MDS with Transfusion-Dependent Anemia.” The press release  
14 stated, in relevant part:

15 ***“With the approval and availability of RYTELO, we believe eligible patients with***  
16 ***lower-risk MDS can potentially experience meaningful clinical benefit,***  
17 ***particularly the potential for greater than 24 weeks of freedom from the burden***  
18 ***of red blood cell transfusions and symptomatic anemia,”*** said [Defendant]  
19 ***Scarlett[.] “The approval of RYTELO as the first telomerase inhibitor is a***  
20 ***testament to the power of our science and the passion of our people to innovate***  
21 ***in the field of blood cancer. As we celebrate today’s momentous milestone, I would***  
22 ***like to thank the patients and families, advocates, clinicians, study coordinators and***  
23 ***site personnel, scientists, and Geron employees and collaborators past and present***  
24 ***whose participation was integral to this achievement and to supporting our***  
25 ***transformation into a commercial company.”***

26 \*\*\*

## 27 IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

### 28 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

#### Thrombocytopenia

RYTELO can cause thrombocytopenia based on laboratory values. In the clinical trial, new or worsening Grade 3 or 4 decreased platelets occurred in 65% of patients with MDS treated with RYTELO.

Monitor patients with thrombocytopenia for bleeding. ***Monitor complete blood cell counts prior to initiation of RYTELO, weekly for the first two cycles, prior to each cycle thereafter, and as clinically indicated.*** Administer platelet transfusions as

1 appropriate. Delay the next cycle and resume at the same or reduced dose, or  
2 discontinue as recommended.

### 3 Neutropenia

4 RYTELO can cause neutropenia based on laboratory values. In the clinical trial,  
5 new or worsening Grade 3 or 4 decreased neutrophils occurred in 72% of patients  
6 with MDS treated with RYTELO.

7 Monitor patients with Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia for infections, including sepsis.  
8 ***Monitor complete blood cell counts prior to initiation of RYTELO, weekly for the***  
9 ***first two cycles, prior to each cycle thereafter, and as clinically indicated.***  
10 Administer growth factors and anti-infective therapies for treatment or prophylaxis  
11 as appropriate. Delay the next cycle and resume at the same or reduced dose, or  
12 discontinue as recommended.

13 38. On June 7, 2024, Geron conducted a special investor call to announce the FDA's  
14 approval of RYTELO (the "FDA Announcement Call"). During the Q&A portion of the FDA  
15 Announcement Call, when asked to discuss RYTELO's weekly monitoring requirements  
16 Defendant Feller characterized them as "standard" and Defendant Kapur stated that neither  
17 community nor academic facilities consider such monitoring requirements to be a burden.

18 39. On August 8, 2024, Geron issued a press release announcing the Company's Q2  
19 2024 financial results. The press release stated, in relevant part:

20 "We are thrilled to have begun the launch of RYTELO, our first commercial  
21 product, in June, and are encouraged by the early success we are seeing and the  
22 reception from the medical community over these first six weeks," said [Defendant]  
23 Scarlett[.] "Our field teams have mobilized efficiently and have already interacted  
24 with approximately 60% of our top decile 1-4 accounts across the community and  
25 academic settings. This has contributed to gratifying uptake – as of July 31, 2024,  
26 we estimate that approximately 160 patients have received RYTELO, which is  
27 encouraging given the very early stage of this launch. Further, the MDS NCCN  
28 Guidelines, which guide clinical decision-making, prescriber behavior and  
reimbursement decisions, were updated at the end of July to include RYTELO as a  
Category 1 and 2A treatment of symptomatic anemia in patients with lower-risk  
MDS. With the introduction of RYTELO as a new therapeutic option, we are seeing  
increasing dialogue among hematologists rethinking treatment approaches for  
eligible patients with lower-risk MDS with transfusion-dependent anemia,  
regardless of ring sideroblast status, and we believe that RYTELO can become part  
of the standard-of-care for these patients."

1           40.     That same day, Geron hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss  
2 the Company’s Q2 2024 results (the “Q2 2024 Earnings Call”). During the scripted portion of  
3 the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Scarlett stated, in relevant part:

4           ***With our strong commercial infrastructure in place at launch and the efficient  
5 mobilization of our field teams, we’ve seen encouraging early launch results. As  
6 of July 31, 60% of the top decile 1-4 accounts had been reached by our team  
7 across both community and academic settings. This has led to gratifying uptake.  
8 We estimate, again, as of July 31, that approximately 160 patients have received  
9 RYTELO, which is quite encouraging given the very early stage of this launch.***

10           The enthusiastic reception for RYTELO that we’ve seen within the hematology  
11 community reinforces the unmet needs for lower-risk MDS patients with  
12 symptomatic transfusion-dependent anemia. Many of our customers are passionate  
13 about getting access to RYTELO for their patients, and we’ve seen a strong push  
14 across the U.S. to add RYTELO to formularies, treatment pathways, and EMRs,  
15 including in the community setting.

16           41.     On November 7, 2024, Geron issued a press release announcing the Company’s  
17 Q3 2024 financial results. The press release stated, in relevant part:

18           “**This has been a transformative year for Geron, following our first FDA approval  
19 and commercial launch of RYTELO in June. *The initial full quarter of product  
20 revenue from our U.S. launch exceeded our expectations and demonstrates  
21 strong execution as a commercial company. These results also reflect the high  
22 unmet need in lower-risk MDS and the compelling value proposition of RYTELO  
23 for hematologists and patients, giving us confidence in future continued demand  
24 and momentum for RYTELO,***” said [Defendant] Scarlett[.] “We were also pleased  
25 to announce this morning the completion of important synthetic royalty and debt  
26 financing transactions with Royalty Pharma and Pharmakon Advisors. We believe  
27 that the favorable terms in these transactions reflect the significant commercial  
28 potential of RYTELO and provide us with critical flexibility to fuel continued  
growth and invest in our future.”

Recent Business Highlights

- ***Strong execution in the first full quarter of U.S. launch, with net product revenue for RYTELO (imetelstat) of \$28.2 million in the third quarter of 2024.***

42.     That same day, Geron hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss  
the Company’s Q3 2024 results (the “Q3 2024 Earnings Call”). During the scripted portion of  
the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Scarlett stated, in relevant part:

1 Following FDA approval and the commercial launch of RYTELO, our first-in-class  
2 telomerase inhibitor, this has been a transformative year for Geron. *As a result, we*  
3 *believe we're well-positioned to build long-term commercial value with this*  
4 *product.*

4 In our first full quarter on the market in the United States, we achieved \$28.2  
5 million in RYTELO net product revenue, which exceeded our expectations. *The*  
6 *initial quarter of product revenue speaks to our execution as a commercial*  
7 *company, as well as the high unmet need in lower-risk MDS and the compelling*  
8 *value proposition of RYTELO for hematologists and patients. This gives us*  
9 *confidence in future continued demand and momentum for RYTELO.*

8 43. Also during the scripted portion of the Q3 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Ziegler  
9 stated, in relevant part:

10 In the first few months of launch, demand has increased month-over-month with  
11 Q3 performance exceeding our expectations. Demand from launch through Q3 has  
12 come from 388 ordering centers, which represents approximately 45% of our key  
13 targeted accounts. This strong start reinforces the high unmet need in RYTELO's  
14 clinical profile in first line ESA ineligible and second line plus lower risk MDS.

14 Our market research indicates treating physicians appreciate RYTELO's  
15 differentiated clinical profile in 24-week and one year red blood cell transfusion  
16 independent rates, median duration of red blood cell transfusion independence and  
17 hemoglobin rise. We believe RYTELO's strong clinical data support broad  
18 utilization across treatment eligible patient sub-groups in both community and  
19 academic settings.

18 \*\*\*

19 *From our own internal demand sales data, so far the RYTELO sales growth*  
20 *trajectory in the Q4 continues to be promising. Overall, we remain confident in*  
21 *our launch progress to date, continued demand for RYTELO, expected*  
22 *momentum into 2025 and the projected long-term growth of the brand.*

22 *Our number one commercial priority is to deliver a strong U.S. launch. We are*  
23 *committed to keeping laser focused on that objective. We plan to leverage our*  
24 *U.S. launch experience to also prepare for commercialization in select EU*  
25 *countries in 2026 and beyond.*

25 44. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 28-43 were materially false and misleading  
26 because Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material  
27 adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants  
28 made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) despite contrary

1 representations to investors, a lack of awareness of RYTELO among health care providers, the  
2 weekly monitoring requirement, and seasonality and existing competition would impair Geron’s  
3 ability to capitalize on the purportedly significant unmet need for the drug; (ii) accordingly, the  
4 RYTELO launch was unlikely to be as profitable as the Company had led investors to believe;  
5 (iii) as a result, Geron’s business and/or financial prospects were overstated; and (iv) as a result,  
6 the Company’s public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.  
7

8 45. In addition, Defendants violated Item 303 of SEC Regulation S-K, 17 C.F.R. §  
9 229.303(b)(2)(ii) (“Item 303”), which required Geron to “[d]escribe any known trends or  
10 uncertainties that have had or that are reasonably likely to have a material favorable or  
11 unfavorable impact on net sales or revenues or income from continuing operations.” Defendants’  
12 failure to disclose that sales of RYTELO were stagnating over period of several months violated  
13 Item 303 because this issue represented a known trend or uncertainty that was likely to have a  
14 material unfavorable impact on Geron’s business and financial results.  
15

### 16 The Truth Emerges

17 46. On February 26, 2025, Geron announced its financial results for the fourth quarter  
18 and full year 2024. The press release stated, in relevant part:

#### 19 Net Loss

20 For the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2024, the Company reported  
21 a net loss of \$25.4 million, *or \$0.04 per share*, and \$174.6 million, or \$0.27 per  
22 share, respectively, compared to \$52.0 million, or \$0.09 per share, and \$184.1  
23 million, or \$0.32 per share, respectively, for the three and twelve months ended  
December 31, 2023.

#### 24 Revenues

25 Total product revenue, net for the three and twelve months ended December 31,  
26 2024, was *\$47.5 million* and \$76.5 million, respectively. There was no product  
27 revenue in the prior year periods, given that RYTELO was approved by the FDA  
in June 2024.  
28

1 Total net revenue for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2024, was  
2 **\$47.5 million** and \$77.0 million, respectively, compared to \$23,000 and \$237,000  
3 for the same periods in 2023. Total net revenue includes license fees and royalties  
4 in addition to any product revenue, net. The increase in revenue is due to product  
revenue from U.S. sales of RYTELO, which was approved by the FDA in June  
2024.

5 47. That same day, Geron hosted the Q4 2024 Earnings Call. During the scripted  
6 portion of the Q4 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Scarlett stated, in relevant part:

7 From a financial perspective, we ended the year with a strong cash position of  
8 approximately \$503 million, which we expect will enable us to reach profitability  
9 without additional financing, if our internal sales and operating expense  
expectations are met.

10 ***However, despite achieving this revenue in the first two quarters of launch, we***  
11 ***have observed flat revenue trends over the last few months.***

12 48. Also during the scripted portion of the Q4 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Ziegler  
13 stated, in relevant part:

14 As described previously by [Defendant Scarlett], we achieved \$47.5 million in  
15 RYTELO net product revenues in the fourth quarter 2024. This demand for  
16 RYTELO was supported by strong payer access. Payers responsible for  
17 approximately 80% of the U.S. covered lives have implemented medical coverage  
policies for RYTELO, that are consistent with the FDA label, clinical trials and/or  
NCCN guidelines.

18 ***New patient starts on duration of treatment are the key primary drivers of***  
19 ***revenue.*** For duration of treatment, it is important to note that even the longest  
20 treated patients in the commercial setting are just hitting the median of  
21 approximately eight months observed in the Phase 3 IMerge trial and our market  
research suggests the duration of treatment in commercial RYTELO patients  
treated to date appears consistent with that observed in IMerge.

22 ***However, with respect to new patient starts, we have observed flatness over the***  
23 ***past few months. Specifically, even though we see RYTELO utilized across RS-***  
24 ***negative and RS-positive first line ESA ineligible, second line ESA relapse***  
25 ***refractory and third-line plus patients. The majority of new patient starts have***  
26 ***come from the third line plus patient segment with the second line new patient***  
27 ***starts lower than our expectations.***

28 \*\*\*

We are also assessing other possible root causes for the flat revenue trends and have  
implemented or are in the process of implementing several changes such as scaling

1 up our analytics capabilities, refining our segmentation and targeting and  
2 improving our promotional and sales force effectiveness, which we believe will  
3 help us more fully capture the significant commercial opportunity for RYTELO in  
4 lower-risk MDS[.]

5 As shown on Slide 8 in our earnings deck, we estimate that in 2025, the U.S.  
6 RYTELO total addressable lower-risk MDS patient population is approximately  
7 15,400 patients and includes patients recommended in the NCCN guidelines. This  
8 includes approximately 3,400 first line ESA ineligible patients, approximately  
9 7,600 second line and 4,400 third line plus patients with approximately 75% of  
10 patients with RS negative and 25% of patients with RS positive status.

11 As I mentioned, our efforts are particularly focused on the eligible RS-negative  
12 population where RYTELO is the only drug approved for ESA relapsed/refractory  
13 patients. Assuming the duration of treatment observed in IMerge and based on the  
14 current net price, *there is potential to achieve blockbuster status by treating  
15 approximately 1/3 of the U.S. RYTELO, total addressable patients.*

16 49. Further, during the Q&A portion of the Q4 2024 Earnings Call, when asked to  
17 discuss seasonality, Defendant Ziegler responded, in relevant part, “*we saw some seasonality  
18 beginning around the holidays [ . . . ]* And there is some *hesitancy in the market to start some  
19 of these products that require, in our case, some monitoring.* So there was a little bit of a delay.”

20 50. Finally, when asked “in terms of new patient starts, are you mainly seeing  
21 prescribers who have already used RYTELO, kind of re-prescribe it to new patients? Or is it  
22 mostly coming from new prescribers, new centers?”, Defendant Ziegler responded, in relevant  
23 part, “[o]n new patient starts, we’re seeing repeat prescriptions amongst the early adopters *largely  
24 at many of the academic medical centers. In the community, it’s a little bit more diffused.*”

25 51. Market analysts were quick to comment on Geron’s disappointing Q4 2024 results  
26 and emphasize the Company’s failure to effectively market and commercialize RYTELO. For  
27 example, on February 26, 2025, H.C. Wainwright published a report entitled “4Q24 Recap: Flat  
28 Revenues Hindering Launch and Delay in MF Interim Data; Downgrading to Neutral.” The H.C.  
Wainwright report stated, in relevant part:

**Flat revenue trend leads Geron to rethink launch strategy; we expect it may  
take time to build footing.** While the company’s 4Q24 Rytelo revenues of \$47.5M

1 were higher than our estimate of \$45M, *the company has noted an 8-week and 4-*  
2 *week trend showing flat revenues since the holiday season.* The company  
3 announced a plan to shift its strategy, aimed at educating HCPs on Rytelo and  
4 expanding awareness of the therapy. The company noted that majority of new  
5 patient starts have been in 3L low risk myelodysplastic syndrome (LR-MDS)  
6 patients, *while the company's commercial plan is to target 1L ESA ineligible and*  
7 *2L ESA refractory patients, as per the label. We note that 1L and 2L patients tend*  
8 *to have longer duration on therapy and are less pretreated, increasing potential*  
9 *for long term use.* To date, the observed duration of therapy has been consistent  
10 with the Phase 3 IMerge trial (8 cycles). *The company did not provide specific*  
11 *plans to address awareness aside from increased HCP education and use of KOLs*  
12 *and medical conferences.* In addition, the company noted plans for more  
13 investigator sponsored trials in 2025 to inform on sequencing and use in different  
14 lines of therapy. *The company has observed prescribers re-prescribing Rytelo to*  
15 *patients, though mostly in the academic setting and noted that in the community*  
16 *setting prescriptions have been more diffused,* though the company eventually  
17 expects breadth and depth of prescriptions to grow. In the 3Q24 earnings call, the  
18 company noted 65% of prescriptions are in the academic setting, which we expect  
19 is likely still the case. We remind that luspatercept was approved for 1L patients in  
20 2023, which may be causing a shift in prescriber patterns and treatment paradigm.  
21 We believe some HCPs who are still using ESAs in 1L are likely then using  
22 luspatercept in 2L and then Rytelo in 3L. The company noted an increased effort to  
23 market use of Rytelo for 2L RS- patients which is a particular unmet need. Despite  
24 these efforts, we expect it may take several quarters in order to get a better  
25 understanding of launch trajectory and believe 2024 could have been impacted by  
26 upfront demand which may not be representative of a realistic growth rate for future  
27 quarters. As such, we have lowered our estimates for 2025 to \$212M from \$297M  
28 and lowered our peak sales to \$1.5B from \$2B. Our lower conviction in near-term  
revenue growth and decreased peak sales have led to our downgrade to Neutral.

52. That same day, Barclays published a report lowering its stock price target from \$9  
to \$4. The Barclays report stated, in relevant part:

We spoke to management. Slowdown seen over the last 2 months, correction in  
place. We update our model to reflect the slowdown, with potential revenue  
turnaround in 2025, but out of an abundance of caution we cut estimates and [price  
target] from \$9 to \$4. Next catalysts: revenues and EU approval.

We spoke to management. Our takeaways from Geron's 4Q24 earnings update:

- **Slowdown in sales growth expected in 1Q25.** Management noted Rytelo new patient start flatness over the past few months (specifically 4- and 8-week rolling averages), with *seasonality impact* that started around the Thanksgiving holidays in 2024, and *some hesitancy around starting products that require monitoring. Competitive dynamics also appear to be a headwind, and the majority of new starts are coming from the 3L+ LR-MDS setting, while second line starts have been lower than expectations.*

1  
2 53. On this news, Geron's stock price fell \$0.76 per share, or 32.06%, to close at \$1.61  
3 per share on February 26, 2025.

4 54. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous  
5 decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have  
6 suffered significant losses and damages.

7  
8 **SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

9 55. During the Class Period, Defendants had both the motive and opportunity to  
10 commit fraud. For example, during the Class Period, while disseminating the materially false and  
11 misleading statements alleged herein to maintain artificially inflated prices for Geron securities,  
12 several of the Individual Defendants enriched themselves by millions of dollars by engaging in  
13 insider sales of the Company's shares while those shares traded at artificially high prices.  
14 Specifically, during the Class Period, Defendant Grethlein sold approximately 674,348 shares of  
15 Geron stock for total proceeds of approximately \$3.07 million, Defendant Feller sold  
16 approximately 287,900 shares of Geron stock for total proceeds of approximately \$1.33 million,  
17 and Defendant Kapur sold approximately 421,875 shares of Geron stock for total proceeds of  
18 approximately \$1.95 million.  
19

20 56. Defendants also had actual knowledge of the misleading nature of the statements  
21 they made, or acted in reckless disregard of the true information known to them at the time. In  
22 so doing, Defendants participated in a scheme to defraud and committed acts, practices, and  
23 participated in a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of the  
24 Company's securities during the Class Period.  
25

26 **PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27 57. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
28 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise

1 acquired Geron securities during the Class Period (the “Class”); and were damaged upon the  
2 revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are Defendants herein,  
3 the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate  
4 families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which  
5 Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

6  
7 58. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is  
8 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Geron securities were actively traded on the  
9 NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and  
10 can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds  
11 or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class  
12 may be identified from records maintained by Geron or its transfer agent and may be notified of  
13 the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in  
14 securities class actions.  
15

16 59. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all  
17 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants’ wrongful conduct in violation of  
18 federal law that is complained of herein.

19 60. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class  
20 and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff  
21 has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.  
22

23 61. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and  
24 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the  
25 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 26 • whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants’ acts as alleged  
27 herein;

- 1 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class  
2 Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and  
3 management of Geron;
- 4 • whether the Individual Defendants caused Geron to issue false and misleading  
5 financial statements during the Class Period;
- 6 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and  
7 misleading financial statements;
- 8 • whether the prices of Geron securities during the Class Period were artificially  
9 inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the  
proper measure of damages.

10 62. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient  
11 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as  
12 the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and  
13 burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress  
14 the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class  
15 action.  
16

17 63. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the  
18 fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- 19 • Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts  
20 during the Class Period;
- 21 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 22 • Geron securities are traded in an efficient market;
- 23 • the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume  
24 during the Class Period;
- 25 • the Company traded on the NASDAQ and was covered by multiple analysts;
- 26 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable  
27 investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and

- Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased, acquired and/or sold Geron securities between the time the Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.

64. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

65. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

### COUNT I

#### **(Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants)**

66. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

67. This Count is asserted against Defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

68. During the Class Period, Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and the other members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Geron

1 securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise  
2 acquire Geron securities and options at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful  
3 scheme, plan and course of conduct, Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth  
4 herein.

5  
6 69. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the  
7 Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly  
8 and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described  
9 above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to  
10 influence the market for Geron securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements were  
11 materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and  
12 misrepresented the truth about Geron's finances and business prospects.

13  
14 70. By virtue of their positions at Geron, Defendants had actual knowledge of the  
15 materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended  
16 thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, Defendants  
17 acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose  
18 such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made,  
19 although such facts were readily available to Defendants. Said acts and omissions of Defendants  
20 were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each Defendant  
21 knew or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as  
22 described above.

23  
24 71. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard  
25 for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers  
26 and/or directors of Geron, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of Geron's  
27 internal affairs.

1           72.     The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs  
2 complained of herein.   Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual  
3 Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of  
4 Geron.  As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had a  
5 duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Geron's businesses,  
6 operations, future financial condition and future prospects.  As a result of the dissemination of the  
7 aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements, the market price of  
8 Geron securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period.  In ignorance of the adverse  
9 facts concerning Geron's business and financial condition which were concealed by Defendants,  
10 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Geron securities at  
11 artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities, the integrity of the market  
12 for the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by Defendants, and were damaged thereby.  
13

14           73.     During the Class Period, Geron securities were traded on an active and efficient  
15 market.  Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and  
16 misleading statements described herein, which the Defendants made, issued or caused to be  
17 disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares  
18 of Geron securities at prices artificially inflated by Defendants' wrongful conduct.  Had Plaintiff  
19 and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise  
20 acquired said securities, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated  
21 prices that were paid.  At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiff and the Class,  
22 the true value of Geron securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the  
23 other members of the Class.  The market price of Geron securities declined sharply upon public  
24 disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of Plaintiff and Class members.  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 their power and authority to cause Geron to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein.  
2 The Individual Defendants, therefore, were “controlling persons” of Geron within the meaning of  
3 Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct  
4 alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Geron securities.

5  
6 80. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of  
7 Geron. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of Geron, each of  
8 the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same to cause,  
9 Geron to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the Individual  
10 Defendants exercised control over the general operations of Geron and possessed the power to  
11 control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and the  
12 other members of the Class complain.

13  
14 81. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to  
15 Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Geron.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

18 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule  
19 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;

20 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by  
21 reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

22 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-  
23 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys’ fees, expert fees and other costs; and

24 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

25  
26 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

27 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---